1	1	
	Case 2:23-cv-01175-CKD Document	34 Filed 12/23/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JAMES TYLER ROOTS,	No. 2:23-cv-1175 CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	G. CHERIAN, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16	-	J
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
18	Plaintiff filed a motion for extension of time to respond to defendants' motion to dismiss. ECF	
19	No. 31. Plaintiff also filed a motion for the appointment of counsel. ECF No. 32.	
20	District courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in section	
21	1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In exceptional	
22	circumstances, the court may request an attorney to voluntarily represent such a plaintiff. See 28	
23	U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1); <u>Terrell v. Brewer</u> , 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); <u>Wood v.</u>	
24	Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). When determining whether "exceptional	
25	circumstances" exist, the court must consider plaintiff's likelihood of success on the merits as	
26	well as the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the	
27	legal issues involved. Palmer v. Valdez, 560 F.3d 965, 970 (9th Cir. 2009) (district court did not	
28	abuse discretion in declining to appoint counsel). The burden of demonstrating exceptional	
	1	•

Case 2:23-cv-01175-CKD Document 34 Filed 12/23/24 Page 2 of 2

circumstances is on the plaintiff. Id. Circumstances common to most prisoners, such as lack of legal education and limited law library access, do not establish exceptional circumstances that warrant a request for voluntary assistance of counsel. Having considered the factors under Palmer, the court finds that plaintiff has failed to meet his burden of demonstrating exceptional circumstances warranting the appointment of counsel at this time. Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel (ECF No. 32) is denied without prejudice; 2. Plaintiff's motion for an extension of time (ECF No. 33) is granted; and 3. Plaintiff is granted thirty days from the date of this order in which to file a response to defendants' motion to dismiss (ECF No. 31). arch U. Dela Dated: December 23, 2024 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 12/root1175.31.new+36